UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,898	08/22/2003	Joar Opheim	012098-0012-999/NN009	1343
	7590 09/03/201 For Nordic Naturals)	0	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41S	Γ. STREET		GHALI, ISIS A D	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-6702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/646,898	OPHEIM, JOAR	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Isis A. Ghali	1611	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appendix for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	e final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Acti no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee aututory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 August 2010</u> . A brief in compli date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ana, or course, (coo , to , 2 20,011),
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form fo appeal; and/or	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond	ling number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See att	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was in the contract of the sufficient reasons.	all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta	* * * *
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.	T place the application in condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/0</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	8) Paper No(s)
	sis A Ghali/ imary Examiner, Art Unit 1611

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 1, 2, 8, 17 and 18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lachman et al. in combination with the article "Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology", US 5,955,102 ('102), and US 5,817,323 ('323). All the elements of the claimed composition are taught by the combined teachings of the prior art and the claimed subject matter as a whole would have been prima facie obvious over the combination of cited prior art in the meaning of USC 103 (a), as set forth in the final office action.

With regard to the declarations presented by applicants:

- 1) Declaration filed 12/07/2005: provides flavor content of the capsule between 0.25 and 1.25 of strawberry flavor.
- 2) Declaration filed 09/25/2006: compares flavored and unflavored capsules and flavored and unflavored liquid content of the capsule.
- 3) Declaration filed 06/11/2007: is directed to commercial concentrated formulations of EPA and DHA and not directed to any flavors, and nothing of record describe such formulations. In other words, it is not clear if the declared formulations are the claimed ones.
- 4) Declaration field 01/16/2009: applicants declared that the declarations filed 9/25/2006 and 06/11/2007 are commensurate in scope of the present claims.
- 5) Declarations filed in the application under re-exam have not been entered as they were not presented previously.

From the above declarations it is concluded that commercial success is not shown to be a result of the capsule contents only because the unflavored liquid also showed lower sale figures (declaration filed 09/25/2006). Further, the claimed product is identical in all its ingredients to the product on sale, and no evidence it is the same product.